EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

DOCKET NO.: 2008-0639-PST-E TCEQ ID: RN104250774 CASE NO.: 35749 RESPONDENT NAME: HWY 29 GROCERY, INC. DBA JIFFY MART 3

ORDER TYPE:		
X 1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
AMENDED ORDER	EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<u>X</u> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
WATER QUALITY	WATER QUALITYSEWAGE SLUDGEUNDERGROUND INJE CONTROL	
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
TYPE OF OPERATION: Convenience stores SMALL BUSINESS: _X Yes	No re is one complaint. The complainant alleged to some no record of additional pending enforcement at has not expressed an interest to protest this m	hat gas leaked while fueling from the far right actions regarding this facility. atter or speak at agenda. No one other than to comments were received. 19 19 100, MC 128, (512) 239-0577 12) 339-2929 Hill, Texas, 78642

RESPONDENT NAME: HWY 29 GROCERY, INC. DBA JIFFY MART 3

DOCKET NO.: 2008-0639-PST-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART: CORRECTIVE ACTIONS VIOLATION INFORMATION PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED Total Assessed: \$8,367 Type of Investigation: Corrective Actions Taken: The Executive Director recognizes that the X Complaint Total Deferred: \$0 Respondent implemented the following corrective X Routine **Expedited Order** measures at the Facility: ___ Enforcement Follow-up Financial Inability to Pay Records Review SEP Conditional Offset Conducted the line leak detector test on February 29, 2008; Date of Complaint Relating to this Case: Total Paid/Due to General Revenue: January 30, 2008 \$492/\$7,875 On March 6, 2008, provided for a release The Respondent paid \$492 of the administrative Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: detection method capable of detecting a February 21, 2008 penalty. The remaining amount of \$7,875 shall release from any portion of the UST system be payable in 35 monthly payments of \$225 each. which contains regulated substances by Date of NOV Relating to this Case: conducting effective manual or automatic Site Compliance History Classification April 8, 2008 inventory control procedures and through __ High X Average __ Poor statistical inventory reconciliation; and **Background Facts:** Person Compliance History Classification The EDPRP was filed on September 12, 2008. Conducted the triennial test for the cathodic The Respondent filed an answer and the case was __ High X Average __ Poor protection system on March 11, 2009. referred to SOAH. The Respondent signed the agreed order on May 28, 2009. Major Source: ___ Yes X No Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002 Current Compliance Status: . No outstanding Technical Requirements. PST: 1. Failed to inspect and test the cathodic protection system for operability and adequacy of protection at a frequency of at least once every three years. Specifically, the triennial test had not been conducted [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE and TEX. WATER CODE § 334.49(c)(4) § 26.3475(d)]. 2. Failed to provide a release detection method capable of detecting a release from any portion of the underground storage tank ("UST") system which contains regulated substances, and failed to test the line leak detectors at least once per year for performance and operational reliability [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 334.50(a)(1)(A) and 334.50(b)(2)(A)(i)(III) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a) and 26.3475(c)(1)]. 3. Failed to conduct effective manual or automatic inventory control procedures for all USTs at the Facility [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.48(c)].

Policy Revision 2 (Sepi	Penalty Calculation Worksheet (P	CW) PCW Revision March 8, 2008
TCEO	311101 2002)	
DATES Assigned PCW	15-Apr-2008 Screening 16-Apr-2008 EPA Due	
RESPONDENT/FACILITY	INFORMATION	
	HWY 29 GROCERY, INC. dba Jiffy Mart 3	
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN104250774	
Facility/Site Region	11-Austin Major/Minor Source	Minor
CASE INFORMATION		
Enf./Case ID No.	35749 No. of Violations	3
Docket No.	2008-0639-PST-E Order Type	1660
	Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator	Rajesh Acharya
Multi-Media		Enforcement Team 6
Admin. Penalty \$ L	imit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$10,000	
	Penalty Calculation Section	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
TOTAL BASE PENAL	TY (Sum of violation base penalties)	Subtotal 1 \$7,500
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-)	TO SURTOTAL 1	
	ned by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.	
Compliance Histo	ory 0% Enhancement Subto	otals 2, 3, & 7 \$0
	No adjustment due to complement history	· .
Notes	No adjustment due to compliance history.	
		<u></u>
Culpability	No 0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4 \$0
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.	
110100	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Good Faith Effor	to Comply 0% Reduction	Subtotal 5 \$0
00001 0101 21101	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	79
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		·
N/A	X (mark with x)	
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria.	•
		,
	0% Enhancement*	Subtotal 6 \$0
Annrox	Total EB Amounts \$980 *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Cost of Compliance \$3,750	
, ppion.	40,750	
SUM OF SUBTOTAL	S 1-7	inal Subtotal \$7,500
1	S JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 12%	Adjustment \$867
Reduces or enhances the Final S	ubtotal by the indicated percentage.	
Notes	Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of	
140103	compliance associated with Violation Nos. 1 and 2.	
	Final Per	nalty Amount \$8,367
STATUTORY LIMIT A	DJUSTMENT Final Asse	ssed Penalty \$8,367
<u> </u>		
DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Pen	ally by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)	Adjustment \$0
Vennoes the tulidi Wasessed Lell	any by the manaced percentage. [Enter number only, e.g. 20 for 20% feducator.]	
Notes	Deferral not offered for non-expedited settlement.	
	·	·
I		
PAYABLE PENALTY		\$8,367

	Screen	ing Date 16-Apr-2008 Docket No. 2008-0639-PST-E			PCW
	Res	spondent HWY 29 GROCERY, INC. dba Jiffy Mart 3	Policy Re	vision 2 (Sep	tember 2002
		se ID No. 35749	PC	W Revision N	March 8, 200
Reg		ence No. RN104250774			
		[Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank			
	Ent. Cod	ordinator Rajesh Acharya			
		Compliance History Worksheet	•		
Comp	liance History Component	site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)	inter Number Here	Adjust.	
		Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%	
		Other written NOVs	0	0%	
		Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%	
	Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%	
	Judgments and Consent	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%	
	Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%	
		Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%	
	Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%	
	Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%	
		Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%	
		Pleas	se Enter Yes or No		
		Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	
	Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%	
		Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%	
		Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%	
		Adjustment Po	ercentage (Su	htotal 21	0%
			Jonange (Oa		0 70
Repe	at Violator (Su	btotal 3)			
	No	Adjustment Po	ercentage (Su	btotal 3)	0%
omp	liance History	Person Classification (Subtotal 7)			
	Average P	erformer Adjustment Po	ercentage (Su	btotal 7)	0%
Comp	oliance History	Summary			
	Compliance				
	History	No adjustment due to compliance history.			

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7)

Notes

	Scre	ening Date	16-Apr-2008		Do	cket No. 20	008-0639-PST-E	and the second s	PCW
		•		OCERY, INC. o	dba Jiffy Mart 3			Policy Re	evision 2 (September 2002)
	(Case ID No.	35749					PC	W Revision March 8, 2008
Reg		erence No.				•			
		ia [Statute]							
		oordinator	Rajesh Achai	rya a					
	Viol	ation Number	1	L					
		Rule Cite(s)	30 Te	ex. Admin. Coo	ie § 334.49(c)(4	4) and Tex. W	ater Code § 26.34	75(d)	
	Violatio	n Description				e every three	m for operability an years. Specifically ed.		
			,				B	Base Penalty[\$10,000
>> Envir	onmental	, Property a	nd Human	Health Mat	rix	**************************************			
			* 4 - 1	Harm	A 42				
OR		Release Actual	Major	Moderate	Minor				
OIX	,	Potential	X			Þ	ercent 25°	<u>%</u>	
		i otomiai		<u> </u>		•	20.	70]	
>>Progra	ammatic l	Matrix			Carrier of Contract C	MARIANA CALAN ARRANGA ARRANGA			
J		Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor				
						P	ercent 09	%	
	Matrix Notes	Human heal that are	th or the envi protective of	ronment will or human health	could be expo- or environment	sed to pollutar tal receptors a	nts which would exa is a result of the vio	ceed levels olation.	
						Δdi	ustment	\$7,500	
							astilleist	Ψ1,000	
								[\$2,500
Violation	1 Events								
		Number of Vio	lation Events			1095 N	umber of violation	dave	
<u> </u>		Number of vic	ialion Evento	<u> </u>	L	1000	uniber of violation	dayo	
			daily						
			monthly						
		mark only one	quarterly				Violation B	Base Penalty	\$2,500
		with an x	semiannual						
			annual						
!			single event	X			•		
		One sing	e event is rec	commended for	r the three-year		ding the February 2	21, 2008	
!					<u> </u>				
Econom	ic Benefit	: (EB) for thi	s violation			S	tatutory Limit	Test	
		Estimated	EB Amount		\$538		Violation Final P	enalty Total	\$2,789
Ì						lmal Az	d Danalki (11 (- آدیموسیورستانی م	60 700
				T	nis violation F	ınaı Assesse	d Penalty (adjuste	ea tor ilmits)	\$2,789

	E	conomic l	Benefit W	orks	sheet		
Respondent	HWY 29 GROC	ERY, INC. dba Jiff	v Mart 3				
Case ID No			,				
Reg. Ent. Reference No							
3		ana Tank					Years of
	Petroleum Stora	age rank				Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No	. 1					1	Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	1 No commas or \$,					
itom 2000 ipuo	1 110 0011111100 01 0						
Deleved Coote							
Delayed Costs Equipment	`			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Equipment Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	ANN	IIAI IZE [1] avoide	ed costs before e	-4	itom (oveent for		
Disposal		CALIEE [1] avoid	34 00010 10101010	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Disposal Personnel		CALLE [1] UTOIC		0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Disposal				0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	All			0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]				0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$450	21-Feb-2005	21-Feb-2008	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.9	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$450	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$538
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]				0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$450	21-Feb-2005	21-Feb-2008	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.9 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$450 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$538 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	\$450	21-Feb-2005	21-Feb-2008	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.9 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$88 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$450 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$538 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	\$450	21-Feb-2005	21-Feb-2008	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.9 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$88 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$450 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$538 \$0

	Screening Date	16-Apr-2008	Docket No. 200	8-0639-PST-E	PCW
			RY, INC. dba Jiffy Mart 3	Policy Re	evision 2 (September 2002)
	Case ID No.	35749		PC	W Revision March 8, 2008
Reg.	Ent. Reference No.	RN104250774			
	Media [Statute]	Petroleum Storage	e Tank		
	Enf. Coordinator				
	Violation Number				
	Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admi	n. Code § 334.50(a)(1)(A), (b)(2)(A)(i)(III) 26.3475(a) and (c)(1)	and Tex. Water Code §	
	Violation Description	portion of the UST	e release detection method capable of de system which contained regulated subst ors at least once per year for performance	ances. Also, failed to test the	
				Base Penalty	\$10,000
>> Enviror	nmental, Property a	nd Human Hea	lth Matrix	and and special concentration of the special contents.	ļ
	na manada ma		larm		
OR	Release Actual	Major Mo	derate Minor		
OK	Potential	X	Per	cent 25%	
	i otomai			2070	
>>Progran	nmatic Matrix				
	Falsification	Major Mo	derate Minor		
			Per	cent 0%	
			nt will or could be exposed to pollutants will health or environmental receptors as a re		
ļ	and the second s	***	Adius	stment \$7,500	
				And Andread Control of the Control o	
					\$2,500
Violation I				AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE STATE	
Violation	zvenis				
	Number of Vio	lation Events	1 55 Nun	nber of violation days	
		<u> </u>		•	
		daily			
		monthly			00.000
	mark only one with an x	quarterly	X	Violation Base Penalty	\$2,500
	With an X	semiannual annual			
		single event	 		
	•	ungio ovont			
	One quarterly	y event is recomme 2008 inv	nded based on documentation of the violestigation to the April 16, 2008 screening	ation during the February 21, date.	5
Economic	Benefit (EB) for th	is violation	Sta	tutory Limit Test	
	Estimated	d EB Amount	\$385	/iolation Final Penalty Total	\$2,789
		·			#0.700
	and a second substitution of the second		This violation Final Assessed I	Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$2,789

WY 29 GROO 5749 N104250774 Petroleum Stor Item Cost Io commas or \$	CERY, INC. dba Jiff age Tank Date Required	iy Mart 3	Yrs	Interest Saved	Percent Interest 5.0 Onetime Costs	Years of Depreciation 15 EB Amount
N104250774 etroleum Stor		Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	5.0	Depreciation 15
N104250774 etroleum Stor		Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	5.0	Depreciation 15
etroleum Stor		Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	5.0	Depreciation 15
Item Cost		Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	5.0	Depreciation 15
	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	'i	. 15
	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	'i	
	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
lo commas or \$	1					
	1					
	1					
			0.0	\$0	\$0 T	\$0
	1		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
		-	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
\$1,500	21-Feb-2008	21-Nov-2008	0.8	\$56	n/a	\$56
	· 	the estimate	ed date o	of compliance.		
ANN	UALIZE [1] avoide	u costs before e			one-time avoided co	
ANN	IUALIZE [1] avoide	eu costs before e	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ANN	VUALIZE [1] avoide	eu costs belore e	0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
ANN	NUALIZE [1] avoide	eu costs belore e	0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
ANN	IUALIZE [1] avoide	eu costs belore e	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
\$300	VUALIZE [1] avoide	29-Feb-2008	0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
	stimated cos	stimated cost to provide release	stimated cost to provide release detection for the t the estimate	0.0 0.0	0.0 \$0 0	0.0 \$0 n/a 0.0

Screening Date	16-Apr-2008 Docket No. 2008-0639-PST-E	PCW
Respondent	HWY 29 GROCERY, INC. dba Jiffy Mart 3	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.	35749	PCW Revision March 8, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN104250774	
Media [Statute]	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinator		·
Violation Number		
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.48(c)	
Violation Description	USTS at the Facility.	
>> Environmental, Property a		se Penalty \$10,000
2	Harm	
Release		
OR Actual		,
Potentia	x Percent 25%	ı
>>Programmatic Matrix	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
T digitidation	Percent 0%	
	n or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation	
	Adjustment	\$7,500
		\$2,500
Violation Events		
Violation Events		
Number of Vi	olation Events 1 55 Number of violation da	avs
	daily	
	monthly	
mark only one with an x	quarterly X Violation Ba	se Penalty \$2,500
1 William X	semiannual annual	
	single event	,
	Single Gyorit	W P ALLACE
One quarterl	y event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the Fe 2008 investigation to the April 16, 2008 screening date.	bruary 21,
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	is violation Statutory Limit Te	est
Estimate	d EB Amount \$56 Violation Final Pe	nalty Total \$2,789
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted	for limits) \$2,789

	HWY 29 GROC	ERY, INC. dba Jiff	fv Mart 3				
Case ID No.			•				
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	-						
_	Petroleum Stora	an Tank					Years of
		age rank				Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No.	. 3					! 1	•
						5.0	1;
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	1 No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment	<u></u>			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,500	21-Feb-2008	21-Nov-2008	0.8	\$56	n/a	\$56
Notes for DELAVED costs	Estimated cos	t to conduct prope				d is the date of inve	stigation. Final
Notes for DELAYED costs			Date is the estir	nated da	ate of compliance.		
Avoided Costs			Date is the estir	nated da	ate of compliance.	one-time avoided c	osts)
Avoided Costs			Date is the estir	nated da	item (except for a	one-time avoided c	osts)
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel			Date is the estir	nated da	item (except for e	one-time avoided c	osts) \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling			Date is the estir	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for a \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0	osts) \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment			Date is the estir	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for or \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]			Date is the estir	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]			Date is the estir	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for a so	one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]			Date is the estir	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]			Date is the estir	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for a so	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Compliance History

Customer	/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN602817272	HWY 29 GROCERY, INC	c .	Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 3.01
Regulated	Entity:	RN104250774	Jiffy Mart 3		Classification: AVERAGE BY DEFAULT	Site Rating: 3.01
ID Numbe	r(s):	PETROLEUM STOREGISTRATION	DRAGE TANK	REGISTRAT	TION	52774
Location:		13420 W STATE H	IIGHWAY 29, LIBERTY H	ILL, TX, 78642	Rating Date: 9/1/2007 Repea	t Violator: NO
TCEQ Re	gion:	REGION 11 - AUS	TIN			
Date Com	pliance History Prepared:	April 16, 2008				
Agency D	ecision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement				
Complian	pe Period:	April 16, 2003 to A	pril 16, 2008			
TCEQ Sta	ff Member to Contact for Additional Infon Rajesh Acharya	mation Regarding th Pho		7		
		Site Co	mpliance History Con	nponents		
1. Has the	site been in existence and/or operation to	for the full five year o	compliance period?	Yes		•
2. Has the	re been a (known) change in ownership	of the site during the	compliance period?	Yes		
3. If Yes, v	who is the current owner?			HWY 29 GR	OCERY, INC.	
4. if Yes,	who was/were the prior owner(s)?			M K Family L	imited Partnership	
5. When	did the change(s) in ownership occur?			04/08/2005		
Compon	ents (Multimedia) for the Site :					
Α.	Final Enforcement Orders, court judgem	ents, and consent d	ecrees of the state of Texa	as and the federal o	government.	
	N/A				,	
В.	Any criminal convictions of the state of T N/A	exas and the federa	l government.	· .		
C.	Chronic excessive emissions events.		•			
	N/A					
D.	The approval dates of investigations. (C	CEDS Inv. Track. No	o.)			
	N/A					
E.	Written notices of violations (NOV). (CC	EDS Inv. Track. No.)				
F.	Environmental audits.			•		
G.	Type of environmental management sys	tems (EMSs).				
	N/A					
H.	Voluntary on-site compliance assessme	nt dates.				
•	N/A					
l.	Participation in a voluntary pollution redu	uction program.				
	N/A					
J.	Early compliance.			•		
Sites Outs	N/A ide of Texas				•	
Jugo Oul	N/A	•				

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF	§	
AN ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
AGAINST HWY 29 GROCERY, INC.	§	
DBA JIFFY MART 3;	§	
RN104250774	§.	E

BEFORE THE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2008-0639-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding HWY 29 Grocery, Inc. dba Jiffy Mart 3 ("HWY 29 Grocery") under the authority of Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, represented by the Litigation Division, and HWY 29 Grocery, represented by Mr. William Thompson of Grissom and Thompson, L.L.P., appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. HWY 29 Grocery owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline located at 13420 West State Highway 29, Liberty Hill, Williamson County, Texas ("the Facility").
- 2. This Agreed Order is entered into pursuant to Tex. WATER CODE §§ 7.051 and 7.070. The Commission has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to Tex. WATER CODE § 5.013 because it alleges violations of Tex. WATER CODE ch. 26 and TCEQ rules.
- 3. The Commission and HWY 29 Grocery agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that HWY 29 Grocery is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. HWY 29 Grocery received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about April 13, 2008.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by HWY 29 Grocery of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

- An administrative penalty in the amount of eight thousand three hundred sixty-seven 6. dollars (\$8,367.00) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). HWY 29 Grocery paid four hundred ninety-two dollars (\$492.00) of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of seven thousand eight hundred seventy-five dollars (\$7,875.00) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of two hundred twenty-five dollars (\$225.00) each, pursuant to 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.9(a). The first monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment. If HWY 29 Grocery fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may, at his option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, HWY 29 Grocery's failure to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by HWY 29 Grocery to timely and satisfactorily comply with all of the terms of this Agreed Order.
- 7. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and HWY 29 Grocery have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that HWY 29 Grocery implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility in response to this enforcement action:
 - a. On February 29, 2008, HWY 29 Grocery conducted the line leak detector test;
 - b. On March 6, 2008, HWY 29 Grocery provided for a release detection method capable of detecting a release from any portion of the UST system which contains regulated substances by conducting effective manual or automatic inventory control procedures and through statistical inventory reconciliation; and
 - c. On March 11, 2009, HWY 29 Grocery conducted the triennial test for the cathodic protection system.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that HWY 29 Grocery has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.

- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

- 1. During an inspection conducted on February 21, 2008, a TCEQ Austin Regional Office investigator documented that HWY 29 Grocery violated:
 - a. 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(c)(4) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d) by failing to inspect and test the cathodic protection system for operability and adequacy of protection at a frequency of at least once every three years. Specifically, the triennial test had not been conducted.
 - b. 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 334.50(a)(1)(A) and 334.50(b)(2)(A)(i)(III) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a) and 26.3475(c)(1) by failing to provide a release detection method capable of detecting a release from any portion of the UST system which contains regulated substances. Also, by failing to test the line leak detectors at least once per year for performance and operational reliability.
 - c. 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.48(c) by failing to conduct effective manual or automatic inventory control procedures for all USTs at the Facility.

III. DENIALS

HWY 29 Grocery generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDER

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that HWY 29 Grocery pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and HWY 29 Grocery's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from considering or requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: HWY 29 Grocery, Inc. dba Jiffy Mart 3, Docket No. 2008-0639-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon HWY 29 Grocery. HWY 29 Grocery is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 3. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against HWY 29 Grocery in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 4. This Agreed Order may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreed Order may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 5. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.142, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to HWY 29 Grocery, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to HWY 29 Grocery, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
For the Executive Director	$\frac{72\sqrt{2009}}{Date}$
I am authorized to agree to the attache indicated below my signature, and I	estand the attached Agreed Order. I represent that ed Agreed Order on behalf of the entity, if any, do agree to the terms and conditions specified he TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty presentation.
 order and/or my failure to timely pay the A negative impact on HWY 29 Groc Greater scrutiny of any permit applie Referral of this case to the Attorney additional penalties, and/or attorney Increased penalties in any future enforcement 	cery's compliance history; cations submitted by HWY 29 Grocery; y General's office for contempt, injunctive relief, fees, or to a collection agency; forcement actions against HWY 29 Grocery; General's Office of any future enforcement actions
In addition, any falsification of any prosecution.	compliance documents may result in criminal
Badarpon	5/28/09 Date
Signature	Date
PARVEZ BADARPURA	President
Name (Printed or typed)	Title
Authorized representative of	
HWY 29 Grocery, Inc. dba Jiffy Mart 3	